Mechanical contact between rough elastic-plastic solids: scale effect in asperity deformation

#### Vladislav A. Yastrebov, Samuel Forest

MINES ParisTech, PSL Research University, Centre for Material Sciences CNRS UMR 7633, Evry, France

Society of Engineering Science 52<sup>nd</sup> Annual Technical Meeting Texas A&M, College Station, USA October 26–28, 2015

# #Introduction

# Contact/friction applications



## Natural and industrial surfaces are *rough*:

- processing
- polishing
- coating
- microstructure
- surface energy
- deformation
- aging
- environment



### Natural and industrial surfaces are *rough*:

- processing
- polishing
- coating
- microstructure
- surface energy
- deformation
- aging
- environment



Fig. Epitaxial surface growth [3,4]

J.Polák, J. Man & K. Orbtlík, Int J Fatigue 25 (2003)
 V.K. Tolpygo, D.R. Clarke, Acta Mat 52 (2004)
 M. Einax, W. Dieterich, P. Maass, Rev Mod Phys 85 (2013)
 J.R. Arthur, Surf Sci 500 (2002)

## Natural and industrial surfaces are *rough*:

- processing
- polishing
- coating
- microstructure
- surface energy
- deformation
- aging
- environment

## Roughness affects:

- stress-strain state
- friction
- wear
- adhesion
- fluid flow
- sealing
- energy transfer



Fig. True contact area and stress fluctuations

## Natural and industrial surfaces are *rough*:

- processing
- polishing
- coating
- microstructure
- surface energy
- deformation
- aging
- environment

## Roughness affects:

- stress-strain state
- friction
- wear
- adhesion
- fluid flow
- sealing
- energy transfer



Fig. True contact area and stress fluctuations

## Natural and industrial surfaces are *rough*:

- processing
- polishing
- coating
- microstructure
- surface energy
- deformation
- aging
- environment

## Roughness affects:

- stress-strain state
- friction
- wear
- adhesion
- fluid flow
- sealing
- energy transfer



Fig. Numerical simulation of airflow around a (dimpled) golf ball [5]

#### [5] C.E. Smith, PhD thesis (2011)

## Natural and industrial surfaces are *rough*:

- processing
- polishing
- coating
- microstructure
- surface energy
- deformation
- aging
- environment

## Roughness affects:

- stress-strain state
- friction
- wear
- adhesion
- fluid flow
- sealing
- energy transfer



Fig. Fluid passage through free volume between rough surfaces



- Fractal (self-affine) roughness
- Power spectral density (PSD)  $\Phi(k) \sim k^{-2(H+1)}$

*k* is a wavenumber, *H* is the Hurst exponent.

- **Gaussian**/non-Gaussian height distribution *P*(*h*)
- Isotropic/anisotropic surfaces



Fig. Power spectral density, geological scales

Adapted from [4] Renard, Candela, Bouchaud, Geophys. Res. Lett. 40 (2013)

- Fractal (self-affine) roughness
- Power spectral density (PSD)  $\Phi(k) \sim k^{-2(H+1)}$

*k* is a wavenumber, *H* is the Hurst exponent.

- **Gaussian**/non-Gaussian height distribution *P*(*h*)
- **Isotropic**/anisotropic surfaces



- Fractal (self-affine) roughness
- Power spectral density (PSD)  $\Phi(k) \sim k^{-2(H+1)}$

*k* is a wavenumber, *H* is the Hurst exponent.

- **Gaussian**/non-Gaussian height distribution *P*(*h*)
- **Isotropic**/anisotropic surfaces



- Fractal (self-affine) roughness
- Power spectral density (PSD)  $\Phi(k) \sim k^{-2(H+1)}$

*k* is a wavenumber, *H* is the Hurst exponent.

- **Gaussian**/non-Gaussian height distribution *P*(*h*)
- Isotropic/anisotropic surfaces
- Characteristics:
  - $\sqrt{\langle h^2 \rangle}$  std heights
  - $\sqrt{\langle |\nabla h|^2 \rangle}$  std slope (surface gradient)
  - $\alpha = m_{00}m_{40}/m_{20}^2$  breadth of the spectrum (Nayak's parameter<sup>[B]</sup>),

spectral moments  $m_{pq} = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_x^p k_y^q \Phi(k_x, k_y) dk_x dk_y$ 

#### Random process theory

[A] Longuet-Higgins, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 250:157 (1957)
 [B] Nayak, J. Lub. Tech. (ASME) 93:398 (1973)
 [C] Greenwood, Wear 261: 191 (2006)
 [D] Borri, Paggi, J. Phys. D Appl Phys 48:045301 (2015)





Yastrebov & Forest



Yastrebov & Forest

# Roughness enhancement

#### Data interpolation (Shanon, bi-cubic Bézier surfaces) Experimental Smoothed (enriched)



Fig. Bi-cubic Bézier interpolation of an experimental rough surface

[1]Hyun, Robbins. *Tribol. Int.* (2007) [2] Yastrebov, Durand, Proudhon, Cailletaud. *C.R. Mécan.* (2011)

Yastrebov & Forest

# Asperity characteristics

#### In theory

- Isotropy of surface does not imply isotropy of asperities
- Unbounded surface spectrum ⇒ divergence of mean curvature

 $\bar{\kappa} = \sqrt{m_4} \sim k_s^{2-H} \xrightarrow{k_s \to \infty} \infty$ 

Longuet-Higgins, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 250:157 (1957)
 Nayak, J. Lub. Tech. (ASME) 93:398 (1973)

[3] Greenwood, Wear 261: 191 (2006)

#### In reality

- continuum mechanics and fractal description fail at atomic scale
- brittle crystals → sharp corners (e.g. rocks, ceramics)



Yastrebov & Forest

## • Example of real curvature distribution

• Roughness of CuZn electroplated with Ni (1  $\mu$ m) and Au (1  $\mu$ m)



# #Mechanics

# • Direct BEM / FEM analysis

- 3D simulations
- More or less accurate roughness representation
- Fast BEM<sup>[1,2,3]</sup>
  - elastic
  - homogeneous
- Slow FEM<sup>[4,5]</sup>
  - arbitrary material model
  - geometrical-nonlinearity
  - heterogenity
- [1] Stanley & Kato. J Tribol (1997)
- [2] Plonsky & Keer. Wear (1999)
- [3] Liu, Wang, Liu. Wear (2000)
- [4] Pei, Hyun, Molinari, Robbins. J Mech Phys Solids (2005)
- [5] Yastrebov, Durand, Proudhon, Cailletaud. CR Mecan (2011)

True contact area

Contact pressure (zoom on 1/16 of the surface) [6] Yastrebov, Anciaux, Molinari. Int J Solids Struct (2015)

Yastrebov & Forest

# • Direct BEM / FEM analysis

- 3D simulations
- More or less accurate roughness representation
- Fast BEM<sup>[1,2,3]</sup>
  - elastic
  - homogeneous
- Slow FEM<sup>[4,5]</sup>
  - arbitrary material model
  - geometrical-nonlinearity
  - heterogenity
- [1] Stanley & Kato. J Tribol (1997)
- [2] Plonsky & Keer. Wear (1999)
- [3] Liu, Wang, Liu. Wear (2000)
- [4] Pei, Hyun, Molinari, Robbins. J Mech Phys Solids (2005)
- [5] Yastrebov, Durand, Proudhon, Cailletaud. CR Mecan (2011)



FE simulation of rough contact<sup>[5]</sup>

Yastrebov & Forest

# • Direct BEM / FEM analysis

- 3D simulations
- More or less accurate roughness representation
- Fast BEM<sup>[1,2,3]</sup>
  - elastic
  - homogeneous
- Slow FEM<sup>[4,5]</sup>
  - arbitrary material model
  - geometrical-nonlinearity
  - heterogenity
- Stanley & Kato. J Tribol (1997)
   Plonsky & Keer. Wear (1999)
- [3] Liu, Wang, Liu. Wear (2000)
- [4] Pei, Hyun, Molinari, Robbins. J Mech Phys Solids (2005)
- [5] Yastrebov, Durand, Proudhon, Cailletaud. CR Mecan (2011)



Yastrebov & Forest

# • Near-surface vs bulk deformation

#### Material aspects

- Cold worked surface + recrystallized: smaller grains near the surface, Hall-Petch effect
- Thin coating films: nanograined, confined plasticity, Hall-Petch effect
- Oxides: brittle hard films

#### **Geometrical aspects**

- Roughness of all nature
- Indentation by asperities: confined plastic zone, high plastic strain gradients





[1] Nix, Gao. J Mech Phys Solids (1998).

Yastrebov & Forest



Nix, Gao. J Mech Phys Solids (1998).
 Feng, Nix. Scripta Mater (2004).



[1] Nix, Gao. J Mech Phys Solids (1998).

[2] Feng, Nix. Scripta Mater (2004).

[3] Qui, Huang, Nix, Hwang, Gao. Acta Mater (2001).





- [2] Feng, Nix. Scripta Mater (2004).
- [3] Qui, Huang, Nix, Hwang, Gao. Acta Mater (2001).





[1] Nix, Gao. J Mech Phys Solids (1998).

[2] Feng, Nix. Scripta Mater (2004).

[3] Qui, Huang, Nix, Hwang, Gao. Acta Mater (2001).





Nix, Gao. J Mech Phys Solids (1998).
 Feng, Nix. Scripta Mater (2004).
 Out Human Dia Mater Gao. And Mater (2014).

[3] Qui, Huang, Nix, Hwang, Gao. Acta Mater (2001).

[4] Swadener, George, Pharr. J Mech Phys Solids (2002).[5] Gao, Larson, Lee, Nicola, Tischler, Pharr. J Appl Mech (2015).

# • Onset of yielding

# Hertz contact: body of revolution Onset of plasticity for pressure $p_{\gamma} = 1.6\sigma_{\gamma}$ Associated force $F_Y = \frac{1.6^3 \pi^3 R^2}{6} \left(\frac{\sigma_Y}{F^*}\right)^2 \sigma_Y$ Associated contact radius $a_Y = \frac{1.6\pi R}{2} \frac{\sigma_Y}{F^*}$ Plastic flow starts at depth $z_{\rm Y} \approx 1.21 R \frac{\sigma_{\rm Y}}{F^*}$ • Example: golden asperity $R = 10 \ \mu m$ $E^* \approx 96 \text{ GPa}, \quad \sigma_v \approx 140 \text{ MPa}, \quad d \approx 4.1 \text{ Å}$

 $F_{\rm Y} \approx 3.8 \ \mu {
m N}, \quad z_{\rm Y} \approx 18 \ {
m nm}, \quad a_{\rm Y} \approx 36 \ {
m nm}$ 

 $z_Y \approx 45d$ ,  $a_Y \approx 115d$ 



Yastrebov & Forest

## • Cosserat continuum

- Field variables (displacement & rotation):  $u, \omega$
- Small deformation tensor:  $\varepsilon = \nabla u + {}^{3} \epsilon \cdot \omega$
- Torsion-curvature tensor:  $\kappa = \nabla \omega$
- Elasticity:  $\sigma = \lambda \operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon_e) I + \mu(\varepsilon_e + \varepsilon_e^{\mathsf{T}}) + \mu_c(\varepsilon_e \varepsilon_e^{\mathsf{T}}), \quad m = \alpha \operatorname{tr}(\kappa_e) I + 2\beta \kappa_e$

$$l_e = \sqrt{\beta/\mu}$$

Note:  $\varepsilon^{\mathsf{T}} \neq \varepsilon, \kappa^{\mathsf{T}} \neq \kappa, \sigma^{\mathsf{T}} \neq \sigma, m^{\mathsf{T}} \neq m$ 

In non-inertial problems without volume forces and couple-forces, balance of momentum and of moment of momentum:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{m} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} : \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0$$

Plasticity: equivalent stress<sup>[1,2]</sup> 
$$Y = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \left( a_1 s : s + a_2 s : s^{\intercal} + \left[ \frac{1}{l_p^2} \right] m : m \right)}$$

Internal lengths: elastic l<sub>e</sub>, plastic l<sub>p</sub>

R. de Borst, L.J. Sluys, Comp Meth Appl Mech Engin (1991)
 S. Forest, R. Sievert, Acta Mech (2003)

where permutation tensor  ${}^{3}\epsilon \sim \epsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{ijk\} = \{123\} \text{ or } \{231\} \text{ or } \{312\} \\ -1, & \text{if } \{ijk\} = \{321\} \text{ or } \{213\} \text{ or } \{132\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Yastrebov & Forest

# • Single asperity analysis

#### Assumptions

- Rigid spherical asperity
- Axisymmetric FE problem
- Generalized Cosserat continuum

#### Parameters

- Au: E = 96 GPa, v = 0.42,  $\sigma_y = 140$  MPa
- $\mu_c = 10\mu, l_e = 100 \text{ nm}, a_1 = 1$
- Indenter radius  $R \in [0.002, 2000] \ \mu m$

#### Objectives

- Study size effect
- Enhance asperity based models for rough contact



# • Accumulated plasticity

• Different plastic distribution



 $Displacement \times 5$ 

Displacement  $\times 5$ 

Indenter radius  $R = 20 \mu m$ Max plastic strain  $p_{max} \approx 7.5\%$  Indenter radius  $R = 2\mu m$ Max plastic strain  $p_{max} \approx 11\%$ 

Yastrebov & Forest

## • Displacement–force–contact radius



# • Roughness $\rightarrow$ asperities



# • Roughness $\rightarrow$ asperities





k<sub>i</sub>=16, k<sub>s</sub>=128, H=0.8





# • Roughness $\rightarrow$ asperities



# • Long-range elastic interaction



• Long-range interaction:  $\delta_j = \frac{1-\nu^2}{\pi E} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{F_i}{d_{ij}}$ 

• Local indentation depth:  $u_j = \max\{z_j - z_0 - \delta_j, 0\}$ 

Force: 
$$F_j = F(u_j)$$

Yastrebov & Forest

# • Spherical indentation of a rough surface

#### • Indenter $R = 100 \mu m$



First step towards incorporating scale dependent plasticity in contact behavior of rough surfaces.

### **Perspectives:**

- Experimental validation<sup>[1]</sup>
- Frictional contact for Cosserat continuum<sup>[2,3]</sup>
- Second-gradient plasticity model<sup>[4,5]</sup>
- Clarify scale dependece of spherical indentation [5,6]

[1] Yastrebov, Mballa Mballa, Cailletaud, Noël, Houzé, Proudhon, Testé, IEEE Holm conference (2015).

- [2] Zhang, Wang, Wriggers, Schrefler. Comp Mech (2005)
- [3] Salehi, Salehi. Int J Solids Struct (2015)

[4] Cordero, Forest, Busso, Berbenni, Cherkaoui. Comp Mater Sci (2012)

- [5] Gao, Larson, Lee, Nicola, Tischler, Pharr. J Appl Mech (2015)
- [6] Swadener, George, Pharr. J Mech Phys Solids (2002)

First step towards incorporating scale dependent plasticity in contact behavior of rough surfaces.

**Perspectives:** 

- Experimental validation<sup>[1]</sup>
- Frictional contact for Cosserat continuum<sup>[2,3]</sup>
- Second-gradient plasticity model<sup>[4,5]</sup>
- Clarify scale dependece of spherical indentation [5,6]

# Thank you for your attention!

• Effect of the high frequency cutoff *k*<sub>s</sub>



• Effect of the high frequency cutoff  $k_s$ 



• Effect of the high frequency cutoff  $k_s$ 



and corresponding rough surface (real space) for  $k_l = 4$ ,  $k_s = 32$ 

• Effect of the high frequency cutoff  $k_s$ 



and corresponding rough surface (real space) for  $k_l = 4$ ,  $k_s = 64$ 

• Effect of the high frequency cutoff  $k_s$ 



and corresponding rough surface (real space) for  $k_l = 4$ ,  $k_s = 128$ 

• Effect of the lower frequency cutoff  $k_l$  for  $k_s/k_l = \text{const}$ 



Fig. Power spectral density (Fourier space) and corresponding rough surface (real space) for  $k_l = 1$ ,  $k_s = 43$ 

• Effect of the lower frequency cutoff  $k_l$  for  $k_s/k_l = \text{const}$ 



Fig. Power spectral density (Fourier space) and corresponding rough surface (real space) for  $k_l = 4$ ,  $k_s = 171$ 

• Effect of the lower frequency cutoff  $k_l$  for  $k_s/k_l = \text{const}$ 



Fig. Power spectral density (Fourier space) and corresponding rough surface (real space) for  $k_l = 12$ ,  $k_s = 512$ 

# Effect of parameters

#### Effect of parameters:

- *k<sub>l</sub>* low frequency cutoff
   *representativity/normality*<sup>[1,2,3]</sup>
- k<sub>s</sub> high frequency cutoff
   smoothness and density of asperities
- $\zeta = k_s/k_l \text{ ratio}^{[3]}$ - *magnification*

Nayak's parameter  $\alpha$  is the central characteristic of roughness in asperity based mechanical models.

 $\alpha \sim \zeta^{2H}$ 

- Vallet, Lasseux, Sainsot, Zahouani, Tribol. Int. (2009)
   Yastrebov, Durand, Proudhon, Cailletaud, C.R. Mécan. (2011)
- [3] Yastrebov, Anciaux, Molinari, Phys. Rev. E (2012)
- [4] Yastrebov, Anciaux, Molinari, Int. J. Solids Struct. (2015)



Yastrebov & Forest

# Effect of parameters

#### Effect of parameters:

- *k<sub>l</sub>* low frequency cutoff
   *representativity/normality*<sup>[1,2,3]</sup>
- k<sub>s</sub> high frequency cutoff
   smoothness and density of asperities
- $\zeta = k_s/k_l \text{ ratio}^{[3]}$ - *magnification*

Nayak's parameter  $\alpha$  is the central characteristic of roughness in asperity based mechanical models.

 $\alpha \sim \zeta^{2H}$ 

- [1] Vallet, Lasseux, Sainsot, Zahouani, Tribol. Int. (2009)
- [2] Yastrebov, Durand, Proudhon, Cailletaud, C.R. Mécan. (2011)
- [3] Yastrebov, Anciaux, Molinari, Phys. Rev. E (2012)
- [4] Yastrebov, Anciaux, Molinari, Int. J. Solids Struct. (2015)



Yastrebov & Forest

• Effect of the ratio of the higher cutoff to mesh density  $k_s/(N/L)$ 



• Effect of the ratio of the higher cutoff to mesh density  $k_s/(N/L)$ 



Yastrebov & Forest

• Effect of the discretisation (single asperity)



#### Displacement

Fig. Effect of the mesh on mechanical response

 Data interpolation (Shanon, bi-cubic Bézier surfaces) Experimental Smoothed (enriched)



Fig. Bi-cubic Bézier interpolation of an experimental rough surface

[1]Hyun, Robbins. *Tribol. Int.* (2007) [2] Yastrebov, Durand, Proudhon, Cailletaud. *C.R. Mécan.* (2011)

Yastrebov & Forest